**Degree Thesis Preparatory Course III.**

**Supervisor evaluation**

**Name of the student:**

**Neptun code:**

**Thesis title:**

**Name of the supervisor:**

**Dates of consultation meetings:**

**1.**

**2.**

**3.**

**Aspects of proposing the grade for Thesis Preparatory Course III.**

**excellent (5)** The student has worked independently, the contribution of the supervisor is minor.

The thesis conforms content and formal requirements.

**good (4)** The student has fulfilled the tasks given by the supervisor independently. He/she needed a little assistance.

The student’s invested work is in correspondence with the academic standards.

The thesis meets the content and formal requirements.

**satisfactory (3)** The student has fulfilled the tasks given by the supervisor but he/she needed a lot of help. The invested work is in correspondence with the quantity needed for writing a thesis.

The thesis meets the content and formal requirements.

**pass (2)** The student has devoted little energy for writing the thesis.

The time used to complete the thesis is disproportionate to the quantity and the quality of writing a thesis.

The student needed a lot of assistance from the thesis supervisor.

The thesis just meets the content/formal requirements.

**fail (1)** The period of time spent working on the thesis is little.

The invested work is not in correspondence with the quality and quantity of editing a thesis.

The thesis does not meet the content and/or formal requirements.

**Written evaluation:**

**Grade proposed for Thesis Preparatory Course III:**

**fail (1) pass (2) satisfactory (3) good (4) excellent (5)**

…………………………………….

Supervisor’s signature

I am informed about the proposed grade.

…………………………………….

Student’s signature
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